

**Minutes of 29<sup>th</sup> Meeting of Environment and Conservation Fund**  
**Waste Recovery Projects Vetting Sub-Committee**

Date : 11 February 2011 (Fri)  
Time : 2:30 p.m.  
Venue : Conference Room (Rm 2825), 28/F, Southorn Centre, 130 Hennessy Road, Wanchai

**Present**

Prof. Jonathan WONG (Chairman)  
Ms CHEUK Fung-ting, Phyllis  
Mr. CHUA Hoi-wai  
Mr. Jor FAN  
Mr. James LEONG  
Mr. LO Yan-lai  
Mr. LUI Tung-ming, MH  
Mr. POON Yuen-fong, Sanford  
Mr. Alex TAM  
Mr. TSANG Kam-lam  
Dr YAU Wing-kwong  
Ms Eunice CHAN Environmental Protection Department (EPD)  
Ms Elaine CHUNG EPD (Secretary)  
Mr. Brian LEE EPD (Assistant Secretary)

**Absent with Apologies**

Dr Carol MA  
Dr William YU

**In Attendance**

Dr Ellen CHAN EPD  
Mr. YUEN Po-hung EPD  
Mr. Elvis AU EPD  
Mr. Stanley LAU EPD  
Ms Betty CHEUNG EPD  
Mr. TAM Chin-hung, Alex EPD

**Welcoming Remarks**

The **Chairman** welcomed all Members and representatives of the EPD to the first meeting of the Waste Recovery Projects Vetting Sub-committee (WRPVSC) for the new tenure from 2010 to 2012. He introduced Members and representatives of the EPD to the Meeting.

## **Agenda Item 1: Confirmation of Minutes of the last meeting held on 6 October 2010**

2. The draft minutes of the last meeting held on 6 October 2010 were circulated to Members of the previous tenure (2008-2010) on 3 December 2010. The Meeting noted that no comments have been received, thus the minutes were confirmed without amendment.

## **Agenda Item 2: Standing Orders and Guidelines on Declaration of Interests (WRPVSC Paper 14/2010-11)**

3. Ms Elaine CHUNG briefed Members on the background, the terms of reference and the standing orders of the WRPVSC as stipulated in WRPVSC Paper 14/2010-11. Members noted that the WRPVSC would approve applications at \$2,000,000 and below, and the quorum for the meeting shall be half of the total number of members i.e. 7.

4. She also drew Members' attention to the need to declare any conflict of interest. The guidelines on declaration of interest were set out in the Annex to WRPVSC Paper 14/2010-11. The Chairman reminded Members that when a member has any potential conflict of interest on an application, he/she should refrain from participating in the discussion of the application in question.

## **Agenda Item 3: Progress report on Source Separation of Waste (SSW) Programme**

5. Dr Ellen CHAN briefed Members on the background of the SSW Programme. The Programme on Source Separation of Domestic Waste was launched territory-wide in 2005 to encourage more people to separate their waste at source for recycling. As at 2011, over 1,600 housing estates/buildings had signed up to join the SSW programme. Together with a total of about 700 sets of waste separation bins of the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department (FEHD) being placed at the refuse collection points in rural areas, the coverage percentage for the total population had been increased to 80%.

6. She added that in order to enlist housing estates to implement the Programme, the Environment and Conservation Fund (ECF) Committee had initially allocated a sum of \$5 million to support housing estates/residential buildings for purchasing and installing waste separation facilities on each floor. Out of the participating housing estates/buildings, 110 had received subsidies under the funding scheme through WRPVSC's approval so far, amounting to some \$4.7 million. With an additional injection of \$5 million, the Programme had been extended to cover the commercial & industrial (C&I) sector since 2008. Simple and straight forward SSW funding applications would be circulated to the WRPVSC Members for approval via email regularly.

7. She supplemented that free waste separation bins were also available for application from the Environmental Campaign Committee (ECC) under the "Promotion Programme on Source Separation of Waste". Over 4,000 sets of waste separation bins had already been delivered to eligible applicants including schools, housing estates and C&I buildings.

## **Agenda Item 4: Discussion Paper for Funding Support to Housing Estates for Collection and Recycling of Source Separated Food Waste**

8. **Mr. Elvis AU** briefed Members on the WRPVSC Paper 15/2010-11. At present, some 3,200 tonnes of food waste were disposed of at the landfills each day in Hong Kong, of which about 2,100 tonnes were produced from the domestic households. To tackle the food waste disposal problem, the government's strategy was to avoid and to minimize food waste generation, and to recycle the inevitable food waste to useful resources. The present proposal aimed to provide funding support to housing estates to implement food waste collection and recycling programmes with the objectives to (i) promote food waste reduction and separation at source and recycling in the domestic sector; (ii) try out the logistics in collection and recycling of food waste with a view to identifying the factors for consideration of wider implementation of food waste collection and recycling in Hong Kong; and, (iii) engender behavioural change of the participating households.

9. Under the proposed funding scheme, each applicant housing estate would be provided with funding to install and operate an on-site treatment facility, i.e. food waste composter, to turn collected food waste to useful material. Funding would also be granted for hiring workers and technical personnel for operational needs, engaging organizations for organizing educational activities, as well as for devising suitable incentive schemes to encourage participation in the housing estates. It was also proposed to adopt a phased approach in implementing the scheme in order to gain experience for fine-tuning the operation and logistics. In the initial phase, the target would be set at about 10 housing estates.

10. He also highlighted a few other points on the scope of the funding scheme –

### *Eligibility*

11. The Scheme was proposed to cover private housing estates as well as estates under the Home Ownership Scheme and Tenant Purchase Scheme, while government quarters and public housing estates wholly owned by Housing Authority and Housing Society were excluded.

### *Treatment facility*

12. In view of the cost-effectiveness in operation, potential operational challenges as well as the space constraint, it was proposed that the capacity of the on-site treatment facility should be within the range of 50 to 100 kg per day, which might cater for 60-120 participating households. Assumedly of an average of 10% participation rate, this meant that the scheme would be targeting at housing estates with at least 600 households. Each successful applicant housing estate would be funded to lease a food waste composter for 24 months initially, instead of direct purchase, in order to encourage the suppliers to provide better after-sale service.

### *Technical support*

13. The EPD would engage a professional consultant through its own resources to operate a Help-desk service for the applicant housing estates, which would cover: assistance in feasibility studies prior to funding application, professional and technical advice to housing estates during planning and

implementation, and evaluation of performance, effectiveness and outcomes among the 10 applicant housing estates.

14. The Chairman invited Members' views on the proposed funding scheme and key points of the deliberation were summarized below -

(a) Selection of housing estates

Noting that the first phase of the scheme would target a destined number of housing estates, **Members** advised the EPD to try covering as many types of housing estates as possible, e.g. high-rise type, villa type, and high-density type, etc., so as to better determine the effectiveness of implementing on-site treatment of food waste in different environmental settings. **Mr. Elvis AU** remarked that the EPD would try to select different types of housing estates for the initial phase and there would be flexibility to accommodate an extra of 1 to 2 housing estates in the first phase even though the target was set at 10.

(b) Outlet of the compost

In response to **Members**' query on the possible outlet of the compost to be produced by the on-site treatment facilities, **Mr. Elvis AU** replied that the applicant housing estates would initially be requested to utilise the compost for on-site greening at their premises so as to further enhance the educational value of the food waste recovery activities. The Help-desk from the EPD would provide assistance and technical support to the applicant housing estates that had difficulties in identifying suitable outlets; for example, lining up the housing estates with suitable government departments.

(c) Logistics for food waste collection

**Members** suggested various ways for the collection of food waste including door-to-door collection by project workers which was considered to be a more convenient mode for residents; and, central collection of food waste at the lobby or common area and engaging more active participations from the family members, etc. Noting the advantages of different modes of operation as suggested by Members, **Mr. Elvis AU** proposed and the **Meeting** agreed that the mode of operation should not be fixed by the EPD so as to allow flexibility for the participating housing estates to adopt the most suitable arrangements accordingly to their own settings. He added that the Help-desk would also assist the individual housing estates in working out the logistics during implementation.

(d) Educational elements

**Mr. Elvis AU** further elaborated on the educational aspect of the Scheme. Funding support would also be provided to successful applicant housing estates to engage non-governmental organizations (NGOs) or other suitable parties to organize educational activities for encouraging residents to participate in the Scheme. More importantly, through implementing separation of food waste at source, messages on source separation of waste and reduction of waste in general could be enhanced at the same time. Acknowledging the proposal, the **Chairman** further suggested and the **Meeting** agreed that education and promotion should be extended to cover the existing source separation of waste programme, e.g. waste paper, plastics and metals, alongside with the introduction of the separation of food waste in order to maximize the educational value.

15. Emphasizing the importance of the Scheme was to provide integral data for studying the effectiveness of implementing on-site treatment of food waste in the first phase as well as to bring about high educational values in the promotion of waste separation and reduction at source through the engagement of different housing estates and NGOs, the **Chairman** concluded after the deliberation that the **Meeting** supported the proposed scheme as stated in the WRPVSC Paper 15/2010-11 with an earmarked amount of \$50 million from the ECF.

16. After the discussion for the agenda item 4, Mr. Elvis AU and Mr. Stanley LAU left the meeting at 3:30 p.m.

### **Agenda Item 5: Vetting of New and Revised Waste Recovery Projects (WRP) Applications**

17. **Ms Elaine CHUNG** briefed Members on the 1 revised and 2 new WRP applications, i.e. project 167, 177 & 180 respectively and invited Members' views. After the discussion, the **Meeting** approved project 167 & 177 and deferred project 180. Details of the decision were given at the *Appendix I*.

### **Agenda Item 6: Discussion Paper for Transfer of Waste Plastics among Community Waste Recovery Projects under Environment and Conservation Fund**

18. **Mr. YUEN Po-hung** briefed Members on the WRPVSC Paper 16/2010-11. To facilitate the transfer of waste plastics among ECF-funded Community Waste Recovery Projects with a view to developing a community network for collection, recovery and recycling of waste plastics in the territory, it was proposed that a simplified mechanism as set out in paragraph 8 of the Paper be adopted. The simplified mechanism was aimed at facilitating proper handling of waste plastics while reducing the administrative work of the ECF-funded Community Waste Recovery Projects. He invited Members' views on the proposed mechanism.

19. After a brief discussion, taking into account of the benefits in bridging the demand and supply for waste plastics among the Projects and streamlining the administrative procedures for the NGOs in the transfer of waste plastics among themselves, the **Meeting** supported the proposed mechanism in principle.

20. Furthermore, **Members** suggested and the **Chairman** concluded that reference price for the selling of waste plastics should be provided by the EPD, based on information to be gathered regularly from local recyclers, instead of to be quoted from internet sources, e.g. mainland waste plastics websites, so as to ensure the reference price reflected the prevailing market level locally.

## **Agenda Item 7: Vetting of New WRP Applications (SSW Model Cases)**

21. **Dr Ellen CHAN** briefed Members on the background of the framework for SSW Model Cases. Noting the difficulties in implementing source separation of waste in single block buildings in older districts, the WRPVSC had previously reviewed the experience of the WRP carried out in the Central & Western District by the Central & Western Mid-Levels Owners Association (CWMOA) and considered that its mode of operation could be applicable to other old districts in the territory. With appropriate adaptation of the CWMOA's project, a standardized WRP application framework for a Model Project (SSW Model Case) was developed and approved at the 26th WRPVSC Meeting on 26 April 2010 so that local NGOs would be attracted and mobilized to help in implementing the SSW Programme in old districts. Relevant papers and the standard application form would be resent to Members for their reference.

22. After the introduction, **Ms Elaine CHUNG** briefed Members on the 3 new WRP applications (SSW model cases), i.e. project 176, 178 and 179 respectively and invited Members' views. After the discussion, the **Meeting** supported the 3 applications. Details of the decision were given at the *Appendix II*.

## **Agenda Item 8: Vetting of Project Variations for WRP Applications**

### Proposed variations to Project 162 - “中西區及半山居民廢料回收計劃” by Central & Western Mid-Levels Owners Association

23. **Ms Elaine CHUNG** briefed Members on the budget revision for the WRP 162. The project was approved before the introduction of the SSW Model Case Framework, with a total amount of \$1,705,403, for the promotion of source separation of waste in C&W District and Mid-Levels. For the 18-month-project, Environmental Ambassadors, 1 full-time Project Officer, 1 full-time Shop Officer and 1 part-time Shop Officer were employed. The applicant had recently submitted a request for raising the salary of the Project Officer from \$10,560 to \$15,000 per month in order to align with the standard salary for Project Officer in the SSW Model Case Framework and to retain the experienced officer to lead the project.

24. After discussion, the **Meeting** approved the budget revision given the experience required for leading the project and for the sake of fairness among SSW model cases and SSW related WR projects. The overall approved budget was adjusted to \$1,740,368. The **Chairman** also suggested and the **Meeting** agreed that additional grant could be allocated for SSW model cases for the procurement of weight-lifting devices, e.g. hand pallet truck, so as to enhance work safety while transporting the heavy recyclables. The additional item mentioned could be included into the standard item list for the SSW Model Cases framework.

*[PMN: the EPD revealed, after gathering information from several suppliers, that the unit cost of the equipment for lifting 2.5-3.0 tonnes ranged from \$2,000 - 6,600. It was recommended to set a limit of \$5,000 for such items. Approval was obtained from the WRPVSC, via circulation on 13 May 2011,*

*for the budget of \$5,000 for hand pallet trucks or similar equipment, and for the item to be added to the budget list of all SSW model projects approved both in the past and in the coming future.]*

Proposed variations to Project 158 - “Management and Operation of Plastic Waste Processing Centre at EcoPark Phase II in Tuen Mun” by Yan Oi Tong Limited

25. **Ms Elaine CHUNG** briefed Members on the budget revision for the WR project 158. The 36-month-project was approved with a total amount of \$10,000,000 for managing and operating the Plastic Resources Recycling Centre in the Ecopark Phase II. To reflect the latest project development and the actual operational needs, the applicant had submitted a budget revision which included the reallocation of approved grant to cover various changes in operation.

26. After discussion, the **Meeting** approved the budget revision with the total approved budget remained unchanged, i.e. \$10,000,000.

Proposed variations to Project 159 - “WEEE Go Green” by St. James’ Settlement

27. Having acquaintance with the applicant, **Mr. Alex TAM** declared interest and withdrew from the Meeting.

28. **Ms Elaine CHUNG** briefed Members on the budget revision for the WR project 159. The 36-month-project was approved with a total amount of \$9,999,035 for managing and operating the Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE) Recycling Centre in the Ecopark Phase II. Based on the actual operation experience, the applicant considered it necessary to review the original budget to reflect the latest project development. Given the earning potential of the project was higher than expected, the applicant proposed to make use of the additional income to enhance the operation (in tools and equipment, consumables, personal protective equipment, fuel) and the promotion, publicity and education of the project (in recruiting a marketing officer and production of publicity materials), whilst keeping the total ECF allocation remains unchanged.

29. After discussion, the **Meeting** approved the overall budget revision except the proposed provision of staff medical check-up for meeting the organisation’s policy. The total approved budget remained unchanged, i.e. \$9,999,035.

**Agenda Item 9: Vetting of New and Revised On-site Meal Portioning (OMP) Applications**

30. **Ms Eunice CHAN** briefed Members on the background of the On-site Meal Portioning (OMP) funding scheme. To encourage more schools to switch over to on-site meal portioning which had the benefits of minimizing food wastage and the use of disposable lunch containers, support from the ECF was proposed and approved by the WRPVSC at the 22nd and 23rd WRPVSC Meetings for funding necessary basic conversion works and facilities. Items to be funded included works and facilities related to re-heating/distribution of cooked food and washing of containers/cutlery, as well as cookers/heaters for rice and vegetables. The Electrical and Mechanical Services Trading Fund (EMSTF) was engaged to provide professional support to the WRPVSC for the vetting and monitoring

of the relevant ECF applications/projects as well as to arrange for the carrying out of works to schools which needed their services.

31. After the introduction, **Mr. TAM Chin-hung, Alex** briefed Members on the summary list of the 18 new OMP applications with a total funding request of \$22 million, and 7 revised OMP applications and invited Members' views.

32. After the discussion, the **Meeting** deferred 3 new applications, i.e. OMP 064, 067, 075, rejected 1 revised application, i.e. OMP 016, and approved all the other applications. Details of the decision were given at the **Appendix III**.

33. During the discussion of the OMP 067, noting that the applicant school intended to arrange all students to dine in classrooms instead of implementing on-site meal portioning at a designated dining area, e.g. covered playground, **Members** deliberated on the need to request applicant schools to adopt the later mode of operation. After the deliberation, the **Chairman** concluded and the **Meeting** agreed that all applicant schools should be encouraged to implement on-site meal portioning at a designated dining area as far as possible, which was the most ideal and preferred mode of operation in terms of meeting the project aim and benefits; while those applicant schools that failed to fully meet the agreed objectives should be given a lower priority when vetting their applicants. Similar cases would have to be discussed in detailed and vetted on a case-by-case basis.

*[PMN: with respect to the Members' query on whether the schools of the applications OMP 067 and 075 could arrange more students to dine in the designated dining areas and fewer students to dine in classrooms, the Secretariat had subsequently conducted joint site visits with staff of the EMSTF. According to the findings, it was recommended to approve OMP 075 with a budget of \$1,575,883.93 and defer OMP 067. WRPVSC approved the recommendations via circulation on 13 May 2011.]*

## **Agenda Item 10: Any Other Business**

34. No other businesses were raised by Members.

## **Agenda Item 11: Date of Next Meeting**

35. The **Chairman** announced that the meetings would generally be held quarterly, thus 4 times per year, and the Secretariat would inform Members the exact date and venue in due course. The meeting was adjourned at 6:15 p.m.

**Waste Recovery Projects Vetting Sub-committee Secretariat  
May 2011**

**Summary on New and Revised WRP Applications Vetted at 29<sup>th</sup> Meeting of  
Waste Recovery Projects Vetting Sub-Committee on 11 February 2011**

No.	Proponent	Project Title	Proposed Budget (\$)	Approved Amount (\$)	Decision	Remarks
167 revised	Tung Wah Group of Hospitals 東華三院	Tung Wah Group Of Hospitals To Move Towards A Green Organization - Small Composting Machine 東華三院邁向綠色機構 - 小型廚餘堆肥設備	164,900	164,900	Approved	<p>The <b>Meeting</b> noted that WRP applications for purchasing composters were decided previously to be deferred pending more data on the performance of composters and that the EPD had conducted various visits subsequently and reflected there were suitable composting machines in the recent market.</p> <p>Taking into account of the availability of suitable composting machines, the <b>Meeting</b> agreed that similar projects for setting up composters could be considered on a trial basis; and thus approved the application.</p> <p><b>Members</b> also pointed out the need to clarify with the applicant whether maintenance cost was included in the proposed budget.</p> <p><i>[PMN: The applicant had subsequently confirmed that the maintenance cost for 1 year had already been included in the budget, thus the total approved budget remained unchanged, i.e. \$164,900.]</i></p>

**Summary on New and Revised WRP Applications Vetted at 29<sup>th</sup> Meeting of  
Waste Recovery Projects Vetting Sub-Committee on 11 February 2011**

No.	Proponent	Project Title	Proposed Budget (\$)	Approved Amount (\$)	Decision	Remarks
177	HK Association for Democracy & People's Livelihood Social Service Centre 民協社會服務中心	Programme on Source Separation of Domestic Waste in Sham Shui Po 深水埗家居廢物源頭分類計劃	512,939	512,939	Approved	<p>In considering its benefit in enhancing the waste recovery rate in the old district with many single block buildings that are generally difficult for the EPD to recruit under the SSW programme, the <b>Meeting</b> approved the application.</p> <p>Noting that the applicant had previously recruited 19 single block buildings in the same district under its project WRP 140, the <b>Meeting</b> decided that a condition should be set for the applicant to look after those 19 buildings, while the total approved budget of \$512,939 shall remain unchanged, so as to better utilize the resources.</p>
180	Hong Kong Dumper Truck Drivers' Association 香港泥頭車司機協會	Green glass green (2nd phase) 「玻璃再生璀璨」第二期	1,999,961.80	-	Deferred	<p>The <b>Meeting</b> deferred the application and requested the applicant to further provide budget clarification and justification for the logistic arrangements concerning the collection of glass recyclables.</p> <p>The <b>Meeting</b> also remarked that funding, to be calculated by the Secretariat, would be granted for extending the on-going project, i.e. WRP 153, so that the recovery of glass could continue while the application for the 2nd phase to be revised by the applicant would be discussed in the next WRPVSC Meeting.</p> <p><i>[PMN: After the calculations, the Secretariat presented and the WRPVSC approved via email on 13 May 2011, an additional budget amounted to \$129,148 for sustaining the WRP 153.]</i></p>

**Summary on New and Revised WRP Applications (SSW Model Cases) Vetted at 29<sup>th</sup> Meeting of  
Waste Recovery Projects Vetting Sub-Committee on 11 February 2011**

No.	Proponent	Project Title	Proposed Budget (\$)	Approved Amount (\$)	Decision	Remarks
176	The Association of Chinese Evangelical Ministry Limited 中國福音事工促進會有限公司	Waste Recycle Project In Old Districts – Tai Kok Tsui/Sham Shui Po 大角咀深水埗舊區居民廢料回收計劃	2,130,900	1,722,110	Approved	<p>The <b>Meeting</b> supported the application which, in-principle, followed the standard SSW model case framework. In addition, the <b>Chairman</b> emphasized and the <b>Meeting</b> agreed the followings –</p> <p>(1) Budget of the SSW model case applications should, in general, be kept in line with the standard budget list of the Framework, as stipulated in the WRPVSC Paper 11/2009-10. The <b>Meeting</b> delegated the right to the Secretariat for recalculating the approved budgets in accordance with the agreed standard budget list.</p> <p>(2) Progresses of the SSW model cases had to be reviewed on a half-yearly basis so as to closely monitor the project performances; and, the WRPVSC reserved the rights to suspend or terminate any project upon unsatisfactory performances.</p>
178	New Opportunity Limited 新機有限公司	“PVC” Recycle Partnership Scheme “PVC”回收夥伴計劃 (Re-name as “Plastic Recycle Partnership Scheme 塑膠回收夥伴計劃”)	1,920,170	1,770,710	Approved	<p>The <b>Meeting</b> supported the application which, in-principle, followed the standard SSW model case framework. The Meeting also agreed on supporting the extra budgets for hiring vans and storage bins for buildings in view of the need to transport the plastic recyclables from Yuen Long to the centre in Tuen Mun and the special need of some individual buildings respectively.</p> <p>The <b>Meeting</b> also remarked that the applicant had to observe the requirement of undertaking all the recyclables collection duties in the standard SSW model case, with waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE)</p>

						inclusive. Moreover, the applicant could consider selling the plastic recyclables to recyclers other than the Plastic Resources Recycling Centre in the Ecopark.
179	Wai Ji Hong Yip Company Limit 懷智匡業有限公司	Shamshuipo Community Waste Collection Project 深水埗社區廢物回收 計劃	1,999,512	1,703,960	Approved	The <b><u>Meeting</u></b> supported the application which, in-principle, followed the standard SSW model case framework.

**Summary on New and Revised On-site Meal Portioning Project (OMP) Applications Vetted at 29<sup>th</sup> Meeting of Waste Recovery Projects Vetting Sub-Committee on 11 February 2011**

**New OMP Applications**

No.	Proponent	Type of School	Type of Works Contractor	Expected Implementation Date	Proposed Budget (\$)	Approved Amount (\$)	Decision
058	Si Yuan School of the Precious Blood 寶血會思源學校	Aided Primary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	Sep 2011	1,245,356.00	1,245,356.00	Approved
059	Po Leung Kuk Fung Ching Memorial Primary School 保良局馮晴紀念小學	Aided Primary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	Sep 2011	1,541,818.26	1,541,818.26	Approved
060	Tin Shui Wai Methodist College 天水圍循道衛理中學	Aided Secondary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	Sep 2011	1,376,868.49	1,376,868.49	Approved
061	CCC Tam Lee Lai Fun Memorial Secondary School 中華基督教會譚李麗芬紀念中學	Aided Secondary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	Sep 2011	1,168,122.44	1,168,122.44	Approved
062	San Wui Commercial 新會商會中學	Aided Secondary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	Sep 2011	1,292,498.03	1,292,498.03	Approved
063	Queen's College Old Boys' Association Secondary School 皇仁舊生會中學	Aided Secondary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	Sep 2011	1,303,995.67	1,303,995.67	Approved
064	HHCKLA Buddhist Wong Cho Sum School 香海正覺蓮社佛教黃漢森學校	Aided Primary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	Sep 2011	1,097,593.32	-	Deferred

No.	Proponent	Type of School	Type of Works Contractor	Expected Implementation Date	Proposed Budget (\$)	Approved Amount (\$)	Decision
065	Yaumati Catholic Primary School 油蔴地天主教小學 (海泓道)	Aided Primary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	Sep 2011	1,290,073.51	1,290,073.51	Approved
066	Ka Ling School of the Precious Blood 寶血會嘉靈學校	Aided Primary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	Sep 2011	1,431,988.10	1,431,988.10	Approved
067	Po Leung Kuk Horizon East Primary School 保良局志豪小學	Aided Primary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	Sep 2011	1,642,210.29	-	Deferred
068	St. Andrew's Catholic Primary School 天主教聖安德肋小學	Aided Primary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	Sep 2011	1,322,901.33	1,322,901.33	Approved
069	SKH Wing Chun Primary School 聖公會榮真小學	Aided Primary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	Sep 2011	1,324,659.15	1,324,659.15	Approved
070	St. Bonaventure College and High School 聖文德書院	Aided Secondary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	Sep 2011	1,356,943.66	1,356,943.66	Approved
071	St. Bonaventure Catholic Primary School 聖文德天主教小學	Aided Primary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	Sep 2011	1,254,975.35	1,254,975.35	Approved
072	Shap Pat Heung Rural Committee Kung Yik She Primary School 十八鄉鄉事委員會公益社小學	Aided Primary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	Sep 2011	1,379,242.67	1,379,242.67	Approved
073	Po Leung Kuk 1984 College 保良局甲子年中學	Aided Secondary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	Sep 2011	1,480,016.10	1,480,016.10	Approved

<b>No.</b>	<b>Proponent</b>	<b>Type of School</b>	<b>Type of Works Contractor</b>	<b>Expected Implementation Date</b>	<b>Proposed Budget (\$)</b>	<b>Approved Amount (\$)</b>	<b>Decision</b>
074	HKTA The Yuen Yuen Institute No. 1 Secondary School 香港道教聯合會圓玄學院第一中學	Aided Secondary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	Sep 2011	1,094,278.14	1,094,278.14	Approved
075	Yan Oi Tong Tin Ka Ping Primary School 仁愛堂田家炳小學	Aided Primary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	Sep 2011	1,575,883.93	-	Approved via circulation on 13 May 2011

**Summary on New and Revised On-site Meal Portioning Project (OMP) Applications Vetted at 29<sup>th</sup> Meeting of Waste Recovery Projects Vetting Sub-Committee on 11 February 2011**

**Revised OMP Applications**

No	Proponent	Type of School	Type of Works Contractor	Original approved budget (\$)	Approved additional Item(s)	Revised budget(\$)	Decision
010	Hong Chi Morninghope School, Tuen Mun 匡智屯門晨輝學校	Aided Special School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	1,151,819.62	a) 2 warmer trolleys with 30 trays b) 2 warmer trolleys with 40 trays	1,151,819.62	Approved
012	Alliance Primary School, Whampoa 黃埔宣道小學	Aided Primary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	1,586,118.13	a) 3 warmer trolleys with 30 trays b) 1 worktop cabinet	1,586,118.13	Approved
015	Sai Kung Sung Tsun Catholic School 西貢崇真天主教學校	Aided Primary School	Engage contactors by its own means	750,410.20	a) A set of stainless steel wall cladding b) A stainless steel twin sink table with grease trap c) 2 stainless steel worktop tables	764,344.10	Approved
016	S.K.H. Wei Lun Primary School 聖公會偉倫小學	Aided Primary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	1,182,990.50	12 sets of table and bench	-	Rejected
018	S.K.H. Chi Fu Chi Nam Primary School 聖公會置富始南小學	Aided Primary School	Engage contactors by its own means	742,845.04	3 items in the awarded tender have exceeded the approved amounts by 20%: a) Stainless steel table – dimension 900*750*890mm b) Stainless steel table – dimension 1500*700*850mm c) 3 Cabinet table top electric bain marie	742,845.04	Approved

<b>No .</b>	<b>Proponent</b>	<b>Type of School</b>	<b>Type of Works Contractor</b>	<b>Original approved budget (\$)</b>	<b>Approved additional Item(s)</b>	<b>Revised budget(\$)</b>	<b>Decision</b>
037	Aberdeen Baptist Lui Ming Choi College 香港仔浸信會呂明才書院	Aided Secondary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	1,403,631.33	10 sets of roller curtain	1,403,631.33	Approved
052	Ma On Shan Methodist Primary School 馬鞍山循道衛理小學	Aided Primary School	Full Project Management Services provided by EMSTF	1,550,844.10	a) 16 warmer trolleys with 30 trays b) 336 sets of cutlery (less 25 sets of dining table and bench)	1,733,772.59	Approved